UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/773,998	02/06/2004	James R. Hernandez	51916/RVW/S813	8404
23363 7590 02/02/2007 CHRISTIE, PARKER & HALE, LLP PO BOX 7068 PASADENA, CA 91109-7068		EXAMINER		
			GALL, LLOYD A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3676	
SHORTENED STATUTORY	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
3 MON	NTHS	02/02/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/773,998	HERNANDEZ ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
·	Lloyd A. Gall	3676	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13 No.	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-11,13-16,18-21,23 and 24 is/are 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,2,5-11,13-16,18-21,23 and 24 is/are 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers		·	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 06 February 2004 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the c Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a) accepted or b) objected or b) objected or b) objected drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is object.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)    X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)   X Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4)  Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate :	
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5)  Notice of Informal P 6)  Other:	atent Application	

Art Unit: 3676

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Claim 10is objected to because of the following informalities: In claim 10, line 5, "arm" should be replaced with --T-key--. Appropriate correction is required.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1, 2, 6 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis (806) in view of Allen (418).

As seen in figs. 22-24, Davis teaches a lock assembly including a deadbolt which is actuated by a cam 420, 420a, a housing 416, a first lock actuating means 414, 422, a second lock actuating means 426, an arm 424 which is a T-key in view of the projecting ends of pin 432 of the arm 424, the first actuating means being removably connected to the deadbolt as seen in the 422, 423 disengaged position of fig. 23, the second actuating means 426 connected to the deadbolt by the arm 424 and cam 420 engagement in figs. 22 and 23 positions, a lockout position as seen in fig. 23 wherein the deadbolt is connected to the second means 426 but disconnected from the first means 414, 422, wherein the second means 426 includes a T-key arm 424, and the cam 420 is regarded as being directly connected to the deadbolt, and the cam 420 includes a slot 423 for receiving the T-key 424. With respect to claim 2, in the fig. 23 lockout position, the deadbolt can be moved to the unlocked position by the second means 426, but cannot be moved to the unlocked position by the first means 414, 422.

With respect to claim 6, both means 414 and 426 are rotary. With respect to claim 14, Davis also teaches a force transmission means defined by the front flange 414a in fig. 22 which will abut the housing 416 when an outside force acts upon the first means 414. Allen teaches a deadbolt 16 movable within and out of a guide 48 as seen in fig. 4. It would have been obvious to modify the lock assembly of Davis such that the deadbolt moves within and out of a guide, in view of the teaching of Allen, the motivation being to guide and ensure the proper sliding of the deadbolt into its door frame keeper, as is well known in the lock/latch art.

Claims 5 and 7-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen as applied to claims 1 and 6 above, and further in view of Aston (791).

Aston teaches an engagement between a first lock actuating means 20 and an arm 8, 18, defined by protrusions above and below the slot 19 of the first means 20 as seen in fig. 5. It would have been obvious to modify the engagement 422, 423 of Davis to include protrusions on the first means 414, 422 for receiving the arm 424, in view of the teaching of Aston, to provide another well known type of torque transfer between a lock actuating means and a cam.

Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen and Aston as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of LaConte et al.

LaConte teaches an override handle 60 having a notch 68 cooperable with a protrusion 66 on the cover plate 64, 66 to laterally move the second lock actuating means handle

Art Unit: 3676

58 laterally away from the cover plate and disengage the arm 57 from the slot 74 to define a lockout position to disable the first lock actuating means 14. It would have been obvious to modify the slots 434 and pin 432 of Davis to include a second, override handle and groove that mates with a protrusion on a cover plate, in view of the teaching of LaConte et al, the motivation being to simplify disengagement of the means 414, 422 of Davis from the arm 424.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen as applied to claim 1 above, and further in view of Takimoto or Saino.

Takimoto teaches a deadbolt 4 biased to an unlocked position by a spring 6 cooperable between shoulders (the left side of the housing 3) and a shoulder 5 on the bolt. Saino teaches a deadbolt 29 biased to an unlocked position by a spring 41 cooperable with shoulders 45 and 35 on the housing and bolt. It would have been obvious to modify the deadbolt and its housing and guide of Davis as modified by Allen such that the deadbolt is biased to its unlocked position by a spring and shoulders, in view f the teaching of Takimoto or Saino, the motivation being to prevent inadvertent locking of a door in an emergency.

Claim 13 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen and Saino as applied to claim 11 above, and further in view of Russo.

The shoulder 45 of Saino is in the form of a ring in the housing 51. Russo teaches a snap ring 99 used with a groove 96 of a bolt housing. It would have been obvious to substitute a snap ring for the ring 45 of Saino for use with the lock of the modified Davis

Art Unit: 3676

reference, in view of the teaching of Russo, the motivation being to simplify assembly of the ring in the housing.

Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen as applied to claim 14 above, and further in view of Russell et al (233). Russell teaches a housing 10 for a lock having a nut 28 cooperable adjacent a snap ring 32 for use with an opening 26 and a groove 43, 44 of the housing. It would have been obvious to modify the lock 414 of Davis to include a lock cylinder cooperable with an opening and groove of the housing, and a nut and snap ring, in view of the teaching of Russell et al, the motivation being to simplify assembly of the first lock actuating means 414 of Davis, and to transfer force applied to the first actuating means to the housing 416, to prevent tampering with the lock assembly.

Claims 16, 18, 19 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen and Aston.

As seen in figs. 22-24, Davis teaches a lock assembly including a deadbolt which is actuated by a cam 420, 420a, a housing 416, a first lock actuating means 414, 422, a second lock actuating means 426, an arm 424 which is a T-key in view of the projecting ends of pin 432 of the arm 424, the first actuating means being removably connected to the deadbolt as seen in the 422, 423 disengaged position of fig. 23, the second actuating means 426 connected to the deadbolt by the arm 424 and cam 420 engagement in figs. 22 and 23 positions, a lockout position as seen in fig. 23 wherein the deadbolt is connected to the second means 426 but disconnected from the first means 414, 422, wherein the second means 426 includes a T-key arm 424, and the

Art Unit: 3676

cam 420 is regarded as being directly connected to the deadbolt, and the cam 420 includes a slot 423 for receiving the T-key 424. In the fig. 23 lockout position, the deadbolt can be moved to the unlocked position by the second means 426, but cannot be moved to the unlocked position by the first means 414, 422. Davis also teaches a force transmission means defined by the front flange 414a in fig. 22 which will abut the housing 416 when an outside force acts upon the first means 414. Allen teaches a deadbolt 16 movable within and out of a guide 48 as seen in fig. 4. Aston teaches an engagement between a first lock actuating means 20 and an arm 8, 18, defined by protrusions above and below the slot 19 of the first means 20 as seen in fig. 5. It would have been obvious to modify the lock assembly of Davis such that the deadbolt moves within and out of a guide, in view of the teaching of Allen, the motivation being to guide and ensure the proper sliding of the deadbolt into its door frame keeper, as is well known in the lock/latch art. It would have been obvious to modify the engagement 422, 423 of Davis to include protrusions on the first means 414, 422 for receiving the arm 424, in view of the teaching of Aston, to provide another well known type of torque transfer between a lock actuating means and a cam.

Claim 20 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen and Aston as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of LaConte et al.

LaConte teaches an override handle 60 having a notch 68 cooperable with a protrusion 66 on the cover plate 64, 66 to laterally move the second lock actuating means handle 58 laterally away from the cover plate and disengage the arm 57 from the slot 74 to

Art Unit: 3676

Application Control Hambon: Ton 1 G, co

define a lockout position to disable the first lock actuating means 14. It would have been obvious to modify the slots 434 and pin 432 of Davis to include a second, override handle and groove that mates with a protrusion on a cover plate, in view of the teaching of LaConte et al, the motivation being to simplify disengagement of the means 414, 422 of Davis from the arm 424.

Claim 21 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen and Aston as applied to claim 16 above, and further in view of Takimoto or Saino.

Takimoto teaches a deadbolt 4 biased to an unlocked position by a spring 6 cooperable between shoulders (the left side of the housing 3) and a shoulder 5 on the bolt. Saino teaches a deadbolt 29 biased to an unlocked position by a spring 41 cooperable with shoulders 45 and 35 on the housing and bolt. It would have been obvious to modify the deadbolt and its housing and guide of Davis as modified by Allen such that the deadbolt is biased to its unlocked position by a spring and shoulders, in view f the teaching of Takimoto or Saino, the motivation being to prevent inadvertent locking of a door in an emergency.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Davis in view of Allen and Aston as applied to claim 23 above, and further in view of Russell et al.

Russell teaches a housing 10 for a lock having a nut 28 cooperable adjacent a snap ring 32 for use with an opening 26 and a groove 43, 44 of the housing. It would have been obvious to modify the lock 414 of Davis to include a lock cylinder cooperable with

Art Unit: 3676

an opening and groove of the housing, and a nut and snap ring, in view of the teaching of Russell et al, the motivation being to simplify assembly of the first lock actuating means 414 of Davis, and to transfer force applied to the first actuating means to the housing 416, to prevent tampering with the lock assembly.

Applicant's arguments filed November 13, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. In response to applicant's REMARKS/ARGUMENTS, it is submitted that the primary reference to Davis is regarded as teaching a T-key, as is claimed, as Davis teaches ends of a pin 432 which render the arm 424 a T-key. It is also submitted that the Remarks in the last paragraph of page 6 are not clear with respect to which combination of references or which claims are being rejected through impermissible hindsight. It is noted that the rejection of claim 1, for example, involves only providing a guide for the deadbolt of Davis, in view of the teaching of Allen.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. It is noted that the newly cited reference to Fernandez also teaches a T-key coupling 50.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not

Art Unit: 3676

mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lloyd A. Gall whose telephone number is 571-272-7056. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Glessner can be reached on 571-272-6843. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3676

LG *L*G January 31, 2007

Lloyd Q. Hall Lioyd A. Gall Primary Examiner Page 10